

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**August 2008**

# ***Monthly Report, August 2008***

## ***Table of Contents***

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse)	i-vi
(A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

i

*These are estimated locality August 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 47% with a denominator of 15,404 and a numerator of 7,186.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	68	23	34%	061	Fauquier	69	29	42%
003	Albemarle	72	36	50%	063	Floyd	12	8	67%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	30	41%	065	Fluvanna	11	5	45%
007	Amelia	21	4	19%	067	Franklin County	83	34	41%
009	Amherst	35	13	37%	069	Frederick	68	30	44%
011	Appomattox	34	21	62%	071	Giles	20	8	40%
013	Arlington	115	39	34%	073	Gloucester	45	16	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	254	125	49%	075	Goochland	18	3	17%
017	Bath	5	3	60%	077	Grayson	19	12	63%
019	Bedford County/City	93	56	60%	079	Greene	25	6	24%
021	Bland	14	3	21%	081	Greensville/Emporia	79	36	46%
023	Botetourt	14	3	21%	083	Halifax	92	24	26%
025	Brunswick	59	35	59%	085	Hanover	76	26	34%
027	Buchanan	51	22	43%	087	Henrico	623	314	50%
029	Buckingham	35	16	46%	089	Henry/Martinsville	279	128	46%
031	Campbell	123	64	52%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	77	6	8%	093	Isle of Wight	71	48	68%
035	Carroll	54	28	52%	095	James City	58	31	53%
036	Charles City	7	2	29%	097	King and Queen	13	2	15%
037	Charlotte	17	4	24%	099	King George	14	8	57%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	372	181	49%	101	King William	17	8	47%
043	Clarke	9	2	22%	103	Lancaster	20	7	35%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	101	38	38%
047	Culpeper	70	32	46%	107	Loudoun	159	77	48%
049	Cumberland	36	17	47%	109	Louisa	39	18	46%
051	Dickensen	42	12	29%	111	Lunenburg	20	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	38	20	53%	113	Madison	15	5	33%
057	Essex	49	14	29%	115	Mathews	8	2	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	552	302	55%	117	Mecklenburg	77	37	48%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

ii

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	11	4	36%	187	Warren	61	36	59%
121	Montgomery	185	98	53%	191	Washington	74	32	43%
125	Nelson	19	7	37%	193	Westmoreland	31	14	45%
127	New Kent	15	6	40%	195	Wise	203	82	40%
131	Northampton	39	16	41%	197	Wythe	70	26	37%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	36	15	42%
135	Nottoway	79	43	54%	510	Alexandria	219	103	47%
137	Orange	34	13	38%	520	Bristol	125	65	52%
139	Page	23	8	35%	540	Charlottesville	170	93	55%
141	Patrick	64	34	53%	550	Chesapeake	564	244	43%
143	Pittsylvania	90	31	34%	590	Danville	217	87	40%
145	Powhatan	14	3	21%	620	Franklin City	33	19	58%
147	Prince Edward	74	40	54%	630	Fredericksburg	94	58	62%
149	Prince George	39	11	28%	640	Galax	40	24	60%
153	Prince William	595	300	50%	650	Hampton	559	268	48%
155	Pulaski	77	29	38%	670	Hopewell	163	75	46%
157	Rappahannock	5	1	20%	680	Lynchburg	267	132	49%
159	Richmond County	22	4	18%	683	Manassas	78	34	44%
161	Roanoke County	128	71	55%	685	Manassas Park	23	14	61%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	25	12	48%	700	Newport News	795	351	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	130	73	56%	710	Norfolk	959	509	53%
167	Russell	97	43	44%	720	Norton	31	9	29%
169	Scott	92	36	39%	730	Petersburg	289	118	41%
171	Shenandoah	9	2	22%	740	Portsmouth	638	298	47%
173	Smyth	83	29	35%	750	Radford	36	16	44%
175	Southampton	53	15	28%	760	Richmond City	1,409	660	47%
177	Spotsylvania	153	81	53%	770	Roanoke City	492	178	36%
179	Stafford	149	70	47%	800	Suffolk	164	85	52%
181	Surry	17	9	53%	810	Virginia Beach	446	238	53%
183	Sussex	39	26	67%	830	Williamsburg	22	7	32%
185	Tazewell	164	80	49%	840	Winchester	41	25	61%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

*These are estimated locality August 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 13,209 and a numerator of 5,200. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	62	17	27%	061	Fauquier	61	21	34%
003	Albemarle	54	20	37%	063	Floyd	11	7	64%
005	Alleghany/Covington	64	21	33%	065	Fluvanna	9	4	44%
007	Amelia	21	4	19%	067	Franklin County	73	25	34%
009	Amherst	29	9	31%	069	Frederick	64	26	41%
011	Appomattox	32	19	59%	071	Giles	17	5	29%
013	Arlington	94	22	23%	073	Gloucester	36	8	22%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	218	90	41%	075	Goochland	18	3	17%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	16	9	56%
019	Bedford County/City	82	45	55%	079	Greene	24	5	21%
021	Bland	14	3	21%	081	Greensville/Emporia	74	33	45%
023	Botetourt	12	2	17%	083	Halifax	86	18	21%
025	Brunswick	53	30	57%	085	Hanover	65	18	28%
027	Buchanan	42	15	36%	087	Henrico	533	228	43%
029	Buckingham	32	14	44%	089	Henry/Martinsville	250	100	40%
031	Campbell	98	40	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	74	3	4%	093	Isle of Wight	54	31	57%
035	Carroll	41	18	44%	095	James City	44	18	41%
036	Charles City	7	2	29%	097	King and Queen	11	0	0%
037	Charlotte	17	4	24%	099	King George	12	7	58%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	299	111	37%	101	King William	14	5	36%
043	Clarke	7	2	29%	103	Lancaster	20	7	35%
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	89	29	33%
047	Culpeper	59	23	39%	107	Loudoun	118	38	32%
049	Cumberland	29	12	41%	109	Louisa	33	13	39%
051	Dickensen	39	9	23%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	26	8	31%	113	Madison	15	5	33%
057	Essex	46	11	24%	115	Mathews	7	1	14%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	448	201	45%	117	Mecklenburg	68	28	41%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

iv

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	10	3	30%	187	Warren	49	24	49%
121	Montgomery	156	70	45%	191	Washington	61	19	31%
125	Nelson	17	5	29%	193	Westmoreland	26	13	50%
127	New Kent	14	5	36%	195	Wise	175	56	32%
131	Northampton	37	14	38%	197	Wythe	55	18	33%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	31	10	32%
135	Nottoway	68	32	47%	510	Alexandria	172	58	34%
137	Orange	32	11	34%	520	Bristol	111	53	48%
139	Page	20	6	30%	540	Charlottesville	136	61	45%
141	Patrick	49	21	43%	550	Chesapeake	497	184	37%
143	Pittsylvania	77	19	25%	590	Danville	192	66	34%
145	Powhatan	14	3	21%	620	Franklin City	25	13	52%
147	Prince Edward	60	26	43%	630	Fredericksburg	75	43	57%
149	Prince George	33	7	21%	640	Galax	33	17	52%
153	Prince William	469	185	39%	650	Hampton	484	206	43%
155	Pulaski	72	25	35%	670	Hopewell	144	60	42%
157	Rappahannock	5	1	20%	680	Lynchburg	228	94	41%
159	Richmond County	20	3	15%	683	Manassas	68	26	38%
161	Roanoke County	110	53	48%	685	Manassas Park	16	7	44%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	24	11	46%	700	Newport News	678	244	36%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	98	45	46%	710	Norfolk	873	427	49%
167	Russell	86	34	40%	720	Norton	28	6	21%
169	Scott	77	22	29%	730	Petersburg	266	99	37%
171	Shenandoah	9	2	22%	740	Portsmouth	560	222	40%
173	Smyth	77	23	30%	750	Radford	32	13	41%
175	Southampton	47	9	19%	760	Richmond City	1,225	504	41%
177	Spotsylvania	129	63	49%	770	Roanoke City	432	123	28%
179	Stafford	127	52	41%	800	Suffolk	140	63	45%
181	Surry	17	9	53%	810	Virginia Beach	362	160	44%
183	Sussex	32	19	59%	830	Williamsburg	19	5	26%
185	Tazewell	144	60	42%	840	Winchester	33	17	52%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## ***Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August***

v

***These are estimated locality August 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 2,195 and a numerator of 1,986 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.***

<b>FIPS Code</b>	<b>Locality</b>	<b>Denominator</b>	<b>Numerator</b>	<b>Participation Percent</b>		<b>FIPS Code</b>	<b>Locality</b>	<b>Denominator</b>	<b>Numerator</b>	<b>Participation Percent</b>
001	Accomack	6	6	100%		061	Fauquier	8	8	100%
003	Albemarle	18	16	89%		063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	9	9	100%		065	Fluvanna	2	1	50%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A		067	Franklin County	10	9	90%
009	Amherst	6	4	67%		069	Frederick	4	4	100%
011	Appomattox	2	2	100%		071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	21	17	81%		073	Gloucester	9	8	89%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	36	35	97%		075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%		077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	11	11	100%		079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A		081	Greensville/Emporia	5	3	60%
023	Botetourt	2	1	50%		083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	6	5	83%		085	Hanover	11	8	73%
027	Buchanan	9	7	78%		087	Henrico	90	86	96%
029	Buckingham	3	2	67%		089	Henry/Martinsville	29	28	97%
031	Campbell	25	24	96%		091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	3	3	100%		093	Isle of Wight	17	17	100%
035	Carroll	13	10	77%		095	James City	14	13	93%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A		097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	0	0	N/A		099	King George	2	1	50%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	73	70	96%		101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	2	0	0%		103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%		105	Lee	12	9	75%
047	Culpeper	11	9	82%		107	Loudoun	41	39	95%
049	Cumberland	7	5	71%		109	Louisa	6	5	83%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%		111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	12	12	100%		113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	3	100%		115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	104	101	97%		117	Mecklenburg	9	9	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	12	12	100%
121	Montgomery	29	28	97%	191	Washington	13	13	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	5	1	20%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	28	26	93%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	15	8	53%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	11	11	100%	510	Alexandria	47	45	96%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	14	12	86%
139	Page	3	2	67%	540	Charlottesville	34	32	94%
141	Patrick	15	13	87%	550	Chesapeake	67	60	90%
143	Pittsylvania	13	12	92%	590	Danville	25	21	84%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	8	6	75%
147	Prince Edward	14	14	100%	630	Fredericksburg	19	15	79%
149	Prince George	6	4	67%	640	Galax	7	7	100%
153	Prince William	126	115	91%	650	Hampton	75	62	83%
155	Pulaski	5	4	80%	670	Hopewell	19	15	79%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	39	38	97%
159	Richmond County	2	1	50%	683	Manassas	10	8	80%
161	Roanoke County	18	18	100%	685	Manassas Park	7	7	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	117	107	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	32	28	88%	710	Norfolk	86	82	95%
167	Russell	11	9	82%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	15	14	93%	730	Petersburg	23	19	83%
171	Shenandoah	0	0	N/A	740	Portsmouth	78	76	97%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	4	3	75%
175	Southampton	6	6	100%	760	Richmond City	184	156	85%
177	Spotsylvania	24	18	75%	770	Roanoke City	60	55	92%
179	Stafford	22	18	82%	800	Suffolk	24	22	92%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	84	78	93%
183	Sussex	7	7	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	20	20	100%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .



## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Aug-08</b>	<b>Aug-07</b>	<b>Change from Aug-07</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,147	5,026	2%	4,807	7%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,573	2,365	9%	1,658	55%
Applications Approved	2,583	2,776	-7%	2,820	-8%
Cases Closed *	2,490	2,633	-5.4%	4,476	-44%
Cases at End of Month	27,668	27,880	-1%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	28,751	28,877	0%	70,403	-59%

\* The database indicates that of the 2,490 closed cases, 1,027 were VIEW cases, of which 614 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 175 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 127 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Aug-08</b>	<b>Aug-07</b>	<b>Change from Aug-07</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	354	200	77%	338	5%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	220	151	46%	232	-5%
Applications Approved	220	167	32%	81	172%
Cases Closed *	202	169	20%	107	89%
Cases at End of Month	1,022	899	14%	321	218%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,131	998	13%	394	187%

\* The database indicates that of the 202 closed cases, 105 were VIEW cases, of which 47 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, seven were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, six closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	28,690	1,767	901	2,243	811	347	3,118	362	570	991	1,520	888	4,536	806	338	2,686	1,048	5,519	239
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,608	553	396	831	289	150	1,175	125	209	413	446	410	1,706	345	122	968	437	1,959	74
Part. in a Work Activity	8,098	433	325	609	226	112	924	95	173	325	331	270	1,320	260	88	710	331	1,519	47
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	82%	73%	78%	75%	79%	76%	83%	79%	74%	66%	77%	75%	72%	73%	76%	78%	64%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	90	4	3	4	1	3	15	2	2	2	4	14	1	2	11	7	13	0	
Participants Employed	6,058	351	232	497	204	81	561	66	120	247	251	208	806	215	67	568	265	1,277	42
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	59%	60%	71%	54%	48%	53%	57%	60%	56%	51%	47%	62%	55%	59%	61%	65%	57%
Full Time	5,267	338	205	430	186	70	449	61	92	213	215	161	671	191	46	495	234	1,175	35
Part Time	791	13	27	67	18	11	112	5	28	34	36	47	135	24	21	73	31	102	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.57	\$6.71	\$6.79	\$7.40	\$7.41	\$7.76	\$9.18	\$7.93	\$8.04	\$7.29	\$6.97	\$6.95	\$7.86	\$8.08	\$7.67	\$7.49	\$7.49	\$7.35	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$961	\$951	\$1,058	\$1,046	\$1,069	\$1,273	\$1,119	\$1,038	\$1,023	\$959	\$953	\$1,100	\$1,132	\$983	\$1,057	\$1,063	\$1,037	\$920

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	5,226	288	202	516	180	87	613	85	114	178	276	160	723	150	80	482	133	899	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,314	669	500	1,093	358	199	1,466	161	276	510	624	509	2,111	418	161	1,243	521	2,397	98
Part. in a Work Activity	11,094	565	425	900	306	168	1,253	125	242	426	519	399	1,790	332	129	1,006	417	2,015	77
% of Total Enrolled	83%	84%	85%	82%	85%	84%	85%	78%	88%	84%	83%	78%	85%	79%	80%	81%	80%	84%	79%
Participants Employed	7,702	435	297	668	244	113	736	81	162	313	324	249	1,042	264	89	751	310	1,566	58
% of Total Enrolled	58%	65%	59%	61%	68%	57%	50%	50%	59%	61%	52%	49%	49%	63%	55%	60%	60%	65%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.63	\$6.71	\$6.83	\$7.46	\$7.48	\$7.78	\$9.35	\$7.80	\$8.18	\$7.29	\$6.93	\$7.23	\$7.88	\$8.17	\$7.77	\$7.48	\$7.57	\$7.38	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$944	\$929	\$1,052	\$1,040	\$1,032	\$1,282	\$1,065	\$1,032	\$991	\$912	\$946	\$1,081	\$1,095	\$988	\$1,034	\$1,053	\$1,026	\$927
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	70%	68%	64%	71%	66%	74%	72%	70%	68%	62%	67%	71%	78%	57%	73%	71%	71%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	204,823	13,881	7,677	14,880	5,736	3,501	25,335	2,867	4,367	7,700	9,894	5,767	27,199	5,401	2,570	19,311	6,940	39,874	1,923
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	152,012	10,378	6,362	10,851	4,227	2,472	19,228	2,127	3,252	6,238	7,758	4,227	20,768	3,679	1,788	13,757	5,274	28,256	1,370
Part. in a Work Activity	146,039	9,978	6,062	10,432	4,101	2,389	18,657	2,045	3,167	5,908	7,488	4,020	20,128	3,532	1,693	13,177	5,049	26,894	1,319
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	108,886	6,672	4,399	7,866	3,087	1,764	14,281	1,496	2,612	4,468	5,125	2,715	14,920	2,646	1,201	10,195	3,816	20,821	802
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	72%	73%	71%	74%	70%	80%	72%	66%	64%	72%	72%	67%	74%	72%	74%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$5.88	\$5.96	\$6.76	\$6.80	\$6.92	\$8.25	\$7.09	\$7.10	\$6.18	\$6.08	\$6.32	\$7.05	\$7.49	\$6.59	\$6.65	\$6.67	\$6.54	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$911	\$777	\$820	\$921	\$916	\$952	\$1,108	\$947	\$934	\$811	\$822	\$840	\$963	\$996	\$858	\$882	\$915	\$864	\$794
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	54%	57%	62%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,767	198	106	244	237	194	304	431	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	553	50	27	101	69	70	100	119	17
Participants in a Work Activity	433	33	17	84	66	42	90	91	10
% of Enrolled	78%	66%	63%	83%	96%	60%	90%	76%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	351	24	15	69	44	37	66	87	9
% of Enrolled	63%	48%	56%	68%	64%	53%	66%	73%	53%
Full Time	338	24	15	68	41	34	64	83	9
Part Time	13	0	0	1	3	3	2	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.71	\$7.48	\$6.28	\$6.46	\$6.67	\$6.44	\$6.70	\$6.86	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$961	\$1,132	\$890	\$906	\$951	\$931	\$939	\$996	\$1,044

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	288	20	15	44	30	32	67	71	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	669	57	43	112	82	77	122	155	21
Participants in a Work Activity	565	41	31	100	76	59	112	130	16
% of Total Enrolled	84%	72%	72%	89%	93%	77%	92%	84%	76%
Participants Employed	435	28	24	79	54	44	81	115	10
% of Total Enrolled	65%	49%	56%	71%	66%	57%	66%	74%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.71	\$7.25	\$6.88	\$6.43	\$6.81	\$6.52	\$6.62	\$6.81	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$1,067	\$975	\$894	\$954	\$945	\$905	\$969	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	61%	63%	77%	68%	89%	68%	68%	53%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,881	1,450	1,002	1,940	1,883	1,255	2,697	3,214	440
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,378	1,091	747	1,423	1,470	862	2,041	2,406	338
Participants in a Work Activity	9,978	1,010	704	1,408	1,395	840	1,970	2,332	319
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,672	569	453	950	936	524	1,424	1,553	263
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	64%	61%	70%	65%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.88	\$6.08	\$5.97	\$5.56	\$6.12	\$6.12	\$5.85	\$5.84	\$5.73
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$777	\$783	\$785	\$744	\$825	\$841	\$772	\$761	\$704
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	58%	61%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	901	21	106	49	149	177	106	235	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	396	7	37	12	67	60	58	124	31
Participants in a Work Activity	325	7	36	12	51	53	52	91	23
% of Enrolled	82%	100%	97%	100%	76%	88%	90%	73%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	232	5	33	10	38	37	41	51	17
% of Enrolled	59%	71%	89%	83%	57%	62%	71%	41%	55%
Full Time	205	4	27	9	30	34	37	47	17
Part Time	27	1	6	1	8	3	4	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.79	\$7.95	\$6.27	\$6.40	\$6.63	\$7.07	\$6.78	\$7.02	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$951	\$1,114	\$915	\$964	\$969	\$924	\$925	\$972	\$989

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	202	7	19	1	31	36	27	55	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	500	10	49	17	79	83	64	159	39
Participants in a Work Activity	425	8	47	16	57	78	61	127	31
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	96%	94%	72%	94%	95%	80%	79%
Participants Employed	297	6	41	13	40	58	47	74	18
% of Total Enrolled	59%	60%	84%	76%	51%	70%	73%	47%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.83	\$8.00	\$6.60	\$6.55	\$6.59	\$7.05	\$6.76	\$6.92	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$929	\$1,099	\$950	\$895	\$919	\$937	\$887	\$924	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	50%	73%	70%	81%	66%	77%	59%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,677	197	1,077	538	1,306	1,257	1,223	1,554	525
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,362	153	877	436	1067	1018	986	1,371	454
Participants in a Work Activity	6,062	142	791	402	1012	998	966	1,321	430
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,399	102	600	292	693	711	684	1,008	309
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	68%	67%	65%	70%	69%	74%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.96	\$5.86	\$5.78	\$6.11	\$5.72	\$6.25	\$6.03	\$5.95	\$5.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$820	\$805	\$789	\$816	\$793	\$866	\$810	\$831	\$828
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	45%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,243	121	23	4	36	197	34	318	181	254	75	1,000
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	831	84	12	2	11	58	18	125	72	94	32	323
Participants in a Work Activity	609	57	3	1	11	42	13	109	60	73	21	219
% of Enrolled	73%	68%	25%	50%	100%	72%	72%	87%	83%	78%	66%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	497	54	3	1	9	31	11	96	40	62	18	172
% of Enrolled	60%	64%	25%	50%	82%	53%	61%	77%	56%	66%	56%	53%
Full Time	430	35	3	1	7	30	11	94	37	50	15	147
Part Time	67	19	0	0	2	1	0	2	3	12	3	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.40	\$6.81	\$7.37	\$7.00	\$7.46	\$7.44	\$7.46	\$7.44	\$6.97	\$7.38	\$7.71	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,058	\$830	\$1,184	\$1,212	\$1,080	\$1,111	\$1,129	\$1,089	\$1,015	\$1,030	\$1,067	\$1,113

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	516	16	6	0	3	61	14	57	48	51	20	240
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,093	101	15	2	13	77	23	167	84	117	44	450
Participants in a Work Activity	900	77	7	1	12	59	19	150	77	101	32	365
% of Total Enrolled	82%	76%	47%	50%	92%	77%	83%	90%	92%	86%	73%	81%
Participants Employed	668	64	3	1	9	41	15	127	51	81	27	249
% of Total Enrolled	61%	63%	20%	50%	69%	53%	65%	76%	61%	69%	61%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.46	\$6.96	\$7.37	\$7.00	\$7.46	\$7.33	\$7.29	\$7.51	\$7.02	\$7.50	\$7.35	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,052	\$833	\$587	\$1,204	\$1,006	\$1,065	\$1,024	\$1,068	\$1,016	\$1,097	\$937	\$1,112
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	56%	67%	N/A	73%	59%	60%	69%	58%	74%	64%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,880	954	246	51	376	1,252	371	2,137	1,337	1,524	603	6,029
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	10,851	698	143	13	254	898	241	1,697	1,022	1,164	454	4,267
Participants in a Work Activity	10,432	663	129	13	249	827	234	1,681	988	1,107	440	4,101
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	90%	100%	98%	92%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	7,866	511	72	10	185	616	145	1,397	693	904	333	3,000
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	50%	77%	73%	69%	60%	82%	68%	78%	73%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.76	\$6.56	\$7.08	\$6.13	\$6.60	\$6.60	\$6.60	\$6.62	\$6.55	\$7.22	\$6.74	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$921	\$811	\$899	\$978	\$890	\$920	\$912	\$904	\$924	\$1,012	\$880	\$932
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	44%	67%	45%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	45%	49%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	811	519	6	0	67	219
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	289	172	4	0	21	92
Participants in a Work Activity	226	125	3	N/A	17	81
% of Enrolled	78%	73%	75%	N/A	81%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	204	112	2	N/A	14	76
% of Enrolled	71%	65%	50%	N/A	67%	83%
Full Time	186	107	1	N/A	12	66
Part Time	18	5	1	N/A	2	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.41	\$7.35	\$6.40	N/A	\$6.96	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,046	\$1,062	\$583	N/A	\$932	\$1,055

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	180	119	3	0	13	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	358	220	4	0	24	110
Participants in a Work Activity	306	180	4	N/A	21	101
% of Total Enrolled	85%	82%	100%	N/A	88%	92%
Participants Employed	244	135	2	M/A	15	92
% of Total Enrolled	68%	61%	50%	N/A	63%	84%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$7.39	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.42	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,040	\$1,056	\$488	N/A	\$978	\$1,038
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	72%	100%	N/A	69%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,736	3,082	58	16	527	2,053
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,227	2,306	34	7	383	1,497
Participants in a Work Activity	4,101	2,250	33	7	368	1,443
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,087	1,626	22	5	298	1,136
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	65%	71%	78%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$6.81	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.50	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$916	\$930	\$732	\$694	\$848	\$920
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	53%	55%	60%	55%	59%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	347	9	103	39	27	88	81	239	150	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	150	5	48	21	5	38	33	74	36	38
Participants in a Work Activity	112	4	28	19	3	30	28	47	22	25
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	58%	90%	0	79%	85%	64%	61%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	81	4	24	14	3	18	18	42	22	20
% of Enrolled	54%	80%	50%	67%	2	47%	55%	57%	61%	53%
Full Time	70	3	22	11	2	15	17	35	19	16
Part Time	11	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	3	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.76	\$6.60	\$8.40	\$7.56	\$7.33	\$8.04	\$7.13	\$6.92	\$6.85	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$810	\$1,150	\$969	\$1,119	\$1,160	\$996	\$920	\$928	\$911

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	87	2	27	10	9	19	20	60	41	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	199	5	60	25	11	60	38	98	54	44
Participants in a Work Activity	168	5	49	22	7	51	34	77	40	37
% of Total Enrolled	84%	100%	82%	88%	64%	85%	89%	79%	74%	84%
Participants Employed	113	4	31	15	7	36	20	58	29	29
% of Total Enrolled	57%	80%	52%	60%	64%	60%	53%	59%	54%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	\$6.60	\$8.26	\$7.46	\$7.16	\$8.14	\$7.01	\$6.94	\$6.93	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$761	\$1,070	\$936	\$1,085	\$1,098	\$952	\$927	\$909	\$945
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	60%	69%	73%	70%	58%	70%	66%	58%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,501	145	631	545	460	878	842	1,923	1192	731
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	2,472	85	442	428	257	625	635	1,370	821	549
Participants in a Work Activity	2,389	80	420	420	251	600	618	1319	801	518
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	95%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,764	54	282	330	181	452	465	802	450	352
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	64%	77%	70%	72%	73%	59%	55%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$7.05	\$7.02	\$7.02	\$6.35	\$6.97	\$6.91	\$6.29	\$6.37	\$6.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$952	\$950	\$947	\$965	\$897	\$961	\$953	\$794	\$802	\$785
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	58%	50%	62%	59%	66%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,118	242	1,094	211	972	411	146	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,175	71	367	130	433	110	51	13
Participants in a Work Activity	924	51	321	97	318	91	35	11
% of Enrolled	79%	72%	87%	75%	73%	83%	69%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	9	0	5	0	1	0
Participants Employed	561	24	156	73	219	55	27	7
% of Enrolled	48%	34%	43%	56%	51%	50%	53%	54%
Full Time	449	14	132	54	176	40	26	7
Part Time	112	10	24	19	43	15	1	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.18	\$9.00	\$9.24	\$9.67	\$9.19	\$8.76	\$8.64	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,273	\$1,125	\$1,305	\$1,322	\$1,275	\$1,179	\$1,275	\$1,209

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	613	44	189	61	221	62	28	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,466	94	473	154	525	138	66	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,253	76	429	133	437	114	48	16
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	91%	86%	83%	83%	73%	100%
Participants Employed	736	38	209	92	285	67	35	10
% of Total Enrolled	50%	40%	44%	60%	54%	49%	53%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.35	\$9.39	\$9.38	\$9.79	\$9.39	\$8.81	\$8.78	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,282	\$1,251	\$1,299	\$1,337	\$1,307	\$1,097	\$1,231	\$1,193
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	56%	76%	77%	73%	80%	63%	93%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	25,335	2,353	8,814	1,680	8,053	3,064	1,053	318
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	19,228	1,784	6,502	1,325	6,156	2,428	795	238
Participants in a Work Activity	18,657	1,762	6,314	1,279	5,971	2,332	763	236
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	97%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,281	1,344	4,625	1,102	4,710	1,755	564	181
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	83%	77%	72%	71%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.25	\$7.79	\$8.16	\$8.71	\$8.49	\$7.95	\$7.87	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,018	\$1,090	\$1,138	\$1,177	\$1,014	\$1,069	\$1,046
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	64%	62%	67%	62%	70%	60%	70%



## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	362	144	98	36	81	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	125	53	33	15	20	4
Participants in a Work Activity	95	36	27	13	15	4
% of Enrolled	76%	68%	82%	87%	75%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	66	21	20	9	13	3
% of Enrolled	53%	40%	61%	60%	65%	75%
Full Time	61	19	20	9	12	1
Part Time	5	2	0	0	1	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.74	\$7.55	\$8.41	\$7.11	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,119	\$1,229	\$1,077	\$1,319	\$944	\$788

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	85	31	29	9	13	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	161	66	43	19	28	5
Participants in a Work Activity	125	45	38	18	20	4
% of Total Enrolled	78%	68%	88%	95%	71%	80%
Participants Employed	81	26	24	13	15	3
% of Total Enrolled	50%	39%	56%	68%	54%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$8.37	\$7.61	\$8.03	\$7.06	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,065	\$1,126	\$1,064	\$1,195	\$889	\$853
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	79%	70%	83%	61%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,867	1,091	829	275	595	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	2,127	780	621	201	471	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,045	743	612	194	445	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,496	528	441	141	351	35
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	70%	75%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$7.05	\$7.39	\$6.96	\$6.85	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$947	\$931	\$969	\$975	\$932	\$935
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	63%	44%	44%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	570	101	29	46	83	31	280
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	209	31	8	20	24	10	116
Participants in a Work Activity	173	26	6	9	18	9	105
% of Enrolled	83%	84%	75%	45%	75%	90%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	120	19	5	7	10	7	72
% of Enrolled	57%	61%	63%	35%	42%	70%	62%
Full Time	92	15	4	6	9	5	53
Part Time	28	4	1	1	1	2	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.31	\$8.40	\$7.27	\$9.01	\$6.81	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,038	\$1,120	\$1,084	\$1,043	\$1,264	\$839	\$1,000

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	114	20	5	15	16	4	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	276	43	10	24	34	15	150
Participants in a Work Activity	242	40	8	16	27	12	139
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	80%	67%	79%	80%	93%
Participants Employed	162	24	6	10	17	9	96
% of Total Enrolled	59%	56%	60%	42%	50%	60%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.37	\$8.34	\$7.22	\$8.96	\$6.95	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,100	\$1,045	\$1,021	\$1,193	\$834	\$1,004
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	75%	100%	50%	65%	100%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,367	982	159	335	642	197	2,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,252	672	103	238	430	126	1,683
Participants in a Work Activity	3,167	656	97	227	406	125	1,656
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	95%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,612	530	78	190	285	87	1,442
% of Total Enrolled	80%	79%	76%	80%	66%	69%	86%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.46	\$7.28	\$7.04	\$7.05	\$7.26	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$976	\$1,076	\$916	\$950	\$1,002	\$918
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	54%	49%	50%	54%	53%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	991	81	62	166	216	466
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	413	24	27	81	110	171
Participants in a Work Activity	325	17	25	68	80	135
% of Enrolled	79%	71%	93%	84%	73%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	247	16	22	49	55	105
% of Enrolled	60%	67%	81%	60%	50%	61%
Full Time	213	14	22	45	50	82
Part Time	34	2	0	4	5	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.48	\$7.19	\$6.97	\$7.79	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$1,054	\$1,079	\$965	\$1,125	\$980

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	178	6	18	33	35	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	510	28	42	97	135	208
Participants in a Work Activity	426	24	40	86	104	172
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	95%	89%	77%	83%
Participants Employed	313	20	31	63	67	132
% of Total Enrolled	61%	71%	74%	65%	50%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.23	\$7.03	\$7.19	\$7.69	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$991	\$912	\$1,044	\$960	\$1,078	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	87%	67%	59%	69%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,700	702	633	1,522	1,768	3,075
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	6,238	556	553	1,181	1,463	2,485
Participants in a Work Activity	5,908	534	542	1,115	1,371	2,346
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,468	388	404	807	1,019	1,850
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	73%	68%	70%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.18	\$6.39	\$5.98	\$6.16	\$6.35	\$6.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$811	\$821	\$819	\$803	\$848	\$792
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	53%	43%	56%	59%	63%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,520	221	462	120	223	494
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	446	46	182	53	42	123
Participants in a Work Activity	331	33	144	41	26	87
% of Enrolled	74%	72%	79%	77%	62%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	251	32	99	30	26	64
% of Enrolled	56%	70%	54%	57%	62%	52%
Full Time	215	27	84	27	25	52
Part Time	36	5	15	3	1	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$7.00	\$7.23	\$6.32	\$6.98	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$959	\$986	\$995	\$924	\$995	\$893

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	276	31	85	34	35	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	624	78	218	75	64	189
Participants in a Work Activity	519	64	181	63	56	155
% of Total Enrolled	83%	82%	83%	84%	88%	82%
Participants Employed	324	44	114	48	35	83
% of Total Enrolled	52%	56%	52%	64%	55%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.98	\$7.19	\$6.28	\$7.03	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$912	\$935	\$951	\$804	\$978	\$880
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	66%	60%	66%	60%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,894	1,520	2,568	1,008	1,589	3,209
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,758	1,248	1,822	863	1,201	2,624
Participants in a Work Activity	7,488	1,193	1,747	810	1,181	2,557
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,125	776	1,217	591	703	1,838
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	67%	68%	59%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.08	\$6.07	\$6.37	\$6.00	\$6.10	\$5.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$822	\$813	\$909	\$788	\$843	\$779
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	888	53	102	54	49	57	137	40	150	122	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	410	13	47	29	11	31	62	23	63	70	61
Participants in a Work Activity	270	12	39	19	9	21	52	6	43	32	37
% of Enrolled	66%	92%	83%	66%	82%	68%	84%	26%	68%	46%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	208	7	38	15	8	12	31	6	37	28	26
% of Enrolled	51%	54%	81%	52%	73%	39%	50%	26%	59%	40%	43%
Full Time	161	6	27	15	3	10	17	5	29	27	22
Part Time	47	1	11	0	5	2	14	1	8	1	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.95	\$7.26	\$6.24	\$7.69	\$8.42	\$8.25	\$6.88	\$5.31	\$6.91	\$6.90	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$953	\$913	\$820	\$1,154	\$825	\$1,209	\$841	\$652	\$1,013	\$1,021	\$1,010

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	160	10	13	19	13	15	21	7	22	21	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	509	18	61	37	16	34	88	24	80	76	75
Participants in a Work Activity	399	16	52	23	12	28	78	10	77	40	63
% of Total Enrolled	78%	89%	85%	62%	75%	82%	89%	42%	96%	53%	84%
Participants Employed	249	9	33	19	8	15	44	6	48	32	35
% of Total Enrolled	49%	50%	54%	51%	50%	44%	50%	25%	60%	42%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.23	\$7.43	\$6.16	\$7.52	\$8.42	\$8.37	\$7.07	\$5.31	\$6.90	\$6.87	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$946	\$1,283	\$783	\$1,116	\$807	\$1,202	\$853	\$647	\$1,001	\$1,024	\$907
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	57%	80%	69%	40%	70%	63%	100%	58%	77%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,767	327	795	574	482	400	695	227	821	706	740
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,227	225	611	408	314	271	521	155	620	520	582
Participants in a Work Activity	4,020	206	607	372	292	256	502	153	583	480	569
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	94%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	2,715	145	355	248	172	158	311	81	439	359	447
% of Total Enrolled	64%	64%	58%	61%	55%	58%	60%	52%	71%	69%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.32	\$7.28	\$5.89	\$6.63	\$6.62	\$6.83	\$6.25	\$5.52	\$6.00	\$6.33	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$840	\$952	\$796	\$902	\$860	\$931	\$790	\$732	\$794	\$854	\$846
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	43%	56%	52%	46%	43%	57%	59%	58%	55%	61%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,536	765	40	125	1,143	34	2,429
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,706	264	12	59	428	10	933
Participants in a Work Activity	1,320	186	4	37	318	4	771
% of Enrolled	77%	70%	33%	63%	74%	40%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	1	0	4	4	5
Participants Employed	806	145	4	30	202	4	421
% of Enrolled	47%	55%	33%	51%	47%	40%	45%
Full Time	671	117	3	23	173	3	352
Part Time	135	28	1	7	29	1	69
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$8.04	\$8.00	\$8.65	\$8.21	\$7.91	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,117	\$1,097	\$1,193	\$1,177	\$927	\$1,052

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	723	164	9	30	169	5	346
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,111	316	12	63	514	15	1,191
Participants in a Work Activity	1,790	274	4	41	427	9	1,035
% of Total Enrolled	85%	87%	33%	65%	83%	60%	87%
Participants Employed	1,042	171	4	32	265	6	564
% of Total Enrolled	49%	54%	33%	51%	52%	40%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$8.60	\$8.21	\$9.94	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,082	\$1,187	\$1,172	\$1,144	\$1,232	\$1,042
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	79%	80%	78%	78%	40%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	27,199	5,196	182	824	6,130	236	14,631
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	20,768	3,853	126	559	4,814	156	11,260
Participants in a Work Activity	20,128	3,772	119	503	4,593	147	10,994
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	90%	95%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	14,920	2,674	87	375	3,439	92	8,253
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	69%	67%	71%	59%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.05	\$7.27	\$6.48	\$7.90	\$7.28	\$6.73	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$963	\$991	\$851	\$1,051	\$993	\$866	\$942
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	56%	66%	56%	52%	61%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	806	132	29	276	229	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	345	31	10	133	98	73
Participants in a Work Activity	260	21	8	102	69	60
% of Enrolled	75%	68%	80%	77%	70%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	215	14	7	90	54	50
% of Enrolled	62%	45%	70%	68%	55%	68%
Full Time	191	12	7	79	50	43
Part Time	24	2	0	11	4	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.84	\$7.75	\$7.97	\$8.16	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$1,205	\$1,118	\$1,107	\$1,127	\$1,165

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	150	31	8	46	35	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	418	40	11	157	119	91
Participants in a Work Activity	332	27	8	129	93	75
% of Total Enrolled	79%	68%	73%	82%	78%	82%
Participants Employed	264	18	7	111	67	61
% of Total Enrolled	63%	45%	64%	71%	56%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.71	\$7.75	\$8.13	\$8.23	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,130	\$921	\$1,068	\$1,131	\$1,114
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	72%	73%	78%	83%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,401	859	358	1,517	1,571	1,096
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	3,679	560	227	1,143	857	892
Participants in a Work Activity	3,532	541	216	1,065	834	876
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,646	357	163	848	553	725
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	72%	74%	65%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$7.18	\$7.05	\$7.57	\$7.95	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$996	\$927	\$897	\$1,011	\$1,114	\$970
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	41%	50%	56%	57%	53%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	338	81	19	29	43	16	26	23	34	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	122	36	7	15	9	8	4	10	6	27
Participants in a Work Activity	88	23	5	11	8	6	3	6	6	20
% of Enrolled	72%	64%	71%	73%	89%	75%	75%	60%	100%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	67	15	5	7	5	6	2	4	5	18
% of Enrolled	55%	42%	71%	47%	56%	75%	50%	40%	83%	67%
Full Time	46	6	2	5	3	4	1	4	4	17
Part Time	21	9	3	2	2	2	1	0	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$7.46	\$7.39	\$8.29	\$7.45	\$6.41	\$7.53	\$6.59	\$7.42	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$870	\$707	\$1,075	\$864	\$830	\$993	\$984	\$1,029	\$1,189

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	80	22	5	8	7	2	7	5	6	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	161	46	7	16	10	8	9	11	11	43
Participants in a Work Activity	129	36	5	13	10	6	7	8	10	34
% of Total Enrolled	80%	78%	71%	81%	100%	75%	78%	73%	91%	79%
Participants Employed	89	21	5	9	6	6	4	4	8	26
% of Total Enrolled	55%	46%	71%	56%	60%	75%	44%	36%	73%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.29	\$7.16	\$8.14	\$8.04	\$6.41	\$8.01	\$6.59	\$7.42	\$8.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$988	\$786	\$693	\$1,133	\$1,021	\$825	\$943	\$977	\$1,009	\$1,199
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	57%	68%	57%	56%	67%	83%	56%	38%	40%	52%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	2,570	372	197	191	309	145	290	238	241	587
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	1,788	279	124	150	228	89	205	188	120	405
Participants in a Work Activity	1,693	266	116	142	216	82	192	183	116	380
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	95%	95%	92%	94%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,201	192	74	92	162	57	149	116	85	274
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	60%	61%	71%	64%	73%	62%	71%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.59	\$6.56	\$6.82	\$6.96	\$6.38	\$6.52	\$6.63	\$6.27	\$6.68	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$858	\$820	\$856	\$969	\$807	\$831	\$859	\$831	\$929	\$884
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	43%	35%	45%	47%	50%	55%	42%	32%	49%



## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,686	24	90	91	29	65	1,013	1,345	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	968	7	31	33	11	18	311	538	19
Participants in a Work Activity	710	5	20	27	7	14	257	368	12
% of Enrolled	73%	71%	65%	82%	64%	78%	83%	68%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
Participants Employed	568	3	18	23	5	13	170	326	10
% of Enrolled	59%	43%	58%	70%	45%	72%	55%	61%	53%
Full Time	495	2	15	19	4	12	146	290	7
Part Time	73	1	3	4	1	1	24	36	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$8.00	\$7.61	\$8.62	\$8.31	\$7.11	\$7.37	\$7.45	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,057	\$1,032	\$1,059	\$1,231	\$1,094	\$1,018	\$1,006	\$1,074	\$991

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	482	1	20	14	6	15	164	250	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,243	8	35	46	14	27	393	699	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,006	5	27	38	12	20	350	538	16
% of Total Enrolled	81%	63%	77%	83%	86%	74%	89%	77%	76%
Participants Employed	751	3	23	33	8	16	225	432	11
% of Total Enrolled	60%	38%	66%	72%	57%	59%	57%	62%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$8.00	\$7.44	\$8.23	\$8.81	\$7.34	\$7.42	\$7.42	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,034	\$1,171	\$934	\$1,055	\$1,190	\$977	\$1,016	\$1,047	\$961
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	71%	65%	74%	83%	77%	75%	73%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,311	113	780	744	215	715	6,848	9,646	250
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	13,757	79	519	550	148	485	4,782	7,026	168
Participants in a Work Activity	13,177	77	481	513	142	471	4,687	6,648	158
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	93%	96%	97%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	10,195	39	371	405	103	322	3,500	5,327	128
% of Total Enrolled	74%	49%	71%	74%	70%	66%	73%	76%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.65	\$6.65	\$6.48	\$6.97	\$7.24	\$6.69	\$6.66	\$6.62	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$882	\$888	\$821	\$854	\$1,003	\$852	\$882	\$889	\$870
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	61%	56%	53%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,048	87	89	36	64	267	505
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	437	25	31	15	27	128	211
Participants in a Work Activity	331	21	23	13	26	104	144
% of Enrolled	76%	84%	74%	87%	96%	81%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	2	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	265	20	18	10	22	79	116
% of Enrolled	61%	80%	58%	67%	81%	62%	55%
Full Time	234	20	16	7	19	67	105
Part Time	31	0	2	3	3	12	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$7.53	\$8.14	\$8.39	\$6.99	\$7.33	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,198	\$1,329	\$1,076	\$991	\$1,010	\$1,048

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	133	18	13	5	8	33	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW	521	35	41	19	42	147	237
Participants in a Work Activity	417	34	29	17	37	123	177
% of Total Enrolled	80%	97%	71%	89%	88%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	310	25	22	13	27	93	130
% of Total Enrolled	60%	71%	54%	68%	64%	63%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.57	\$7.71	\$8.59	\$8.23	\$7.04	\$7.41	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$1,226	\$1,470	\$994	\$1,014	\$974	\$1,020
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	86%	58%	86%	63%	68%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,940	878	599	250	568	1,717	2,928
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,274	620	428	189	435	1,355	2,247
Participants in a Work Activity	5,049	618	373	177	420	1,303	2,158
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,816	442	273	140	303	992	1,666
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	74%	70%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.67	\$6.67	\$7.11	\$6.70	\$6.71	\$6.56	\$6.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$915	\$921	\$972	\$849	\$930	\$900	\$917
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	53%	51%	49%	57%	51%	57%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/08 and Work Activity as of 8/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,519	126	100	968	73	1,821	1,133	378	920
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,959	42	31	359	12	728	401	111	275
Participants in a Work Activity	1,519	34	19	246	12	598	324	76	210
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	61%	69%	100%	82%	81%	68%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	1	2	0	1	5	1	2
Participants Employed	1,277	28	15	218	9	525	233	71	178
% of Enrolled	65%	67%	48%	61%	75%	72%	58%	64%	65%
Full Time	1,175	21	10	206	8	502	206	65	157
Part Time	102	7	5	12	1	23	27	6	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.03	\$7.21	\$7.54	\$6.73	\$7.20	\$7.40	\$7.10	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$948	\$969	\$1,080	\$1,028	\$1,009	\$1,068	\$1,007	\$1,063

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	899	16	17	166	12	263	163	66	196
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,397	51	42	454	22	819	496	146	367
Participants in a Work Activity	2,015	44	35	366	20	710	412	119	309
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	83%	81%	91%	87%	83%	82%	84%
Participants Employed	1,566	32	21	276	14	605	296	92	230
% of Total Enrolled	65%	63%	50%	61%	64%	74%	60%	63%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.38	\$6.87	\$7.20	\$7.55	\$7.00	\$7.21	\$7.53	\$7.08	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,026	\$962	\$955	\$1,053	\$953	\$992	\$1,075	\$954	\$1,071
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	63%	62%	75%	59%	76%	68%	61%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	39,874	858	749	6,268	628	12,931	6,567	2,571	9,302
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	28,256	598	577	4,420	484	9,081	5,040	1,950	6,106
Participants in a Work Activity	26,894	576	551	4,189	473	8,683	4,767	1,921	5,734
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	96%	95%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,821	442	359	3,323	347	6,675	3,848	1,515	4,312
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	62%	75%	72%	74%	76%	78%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.54	\$6.56	\$6.27	\$6.65	\$6.18	\$6.44	\$6.47	\$6.37	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$864	\$879	\$798	\$884	\$775	\$830	\$875	\$832	\$925
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	47%	56%	55%	55%	62%	60%	56%

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.7%	4.86	76	\$6.93	2.31	95	57.6%	2.93	104	3.37	110
003	Albemarle	55.8%	5.19	67	\$8.37	5.66	15	75.0%	5.83	31	5.56	21
005	Alleghany/Covington	63.4%	6.36	37	\$6.96	2.38	92	55.8%	2.64	108	3.79	96
007	Amelia	50.0%	4.29	89	\$7.43	3.47	55	57.1%	2.86	105	3.54	108
009	Amherst	71.4%	7.60	13	\$7.23	3.01	69	87.1%	7.85	7	6.15	12
011	Appomattox	73.8%	7.97	9	\$7.03	2.54	83	66.7%	4.45	67	4.98	40
013	Arlington	40.4%	2.81	112	\$9.39	8.04	3	55.9%	2.66	107	4.50	65
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.4%	6.05	45	\$7.39	3.38	61	72.4%	5.39	45	4.94	44
017	Bath	50.0%	4.29	90	\$6.40	1.07	116	100.0%	10.00	1	5.12	33
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	6.60	29	\$7.19	2.91	74	58.7%	3.11	97	4.21	80
021	Bland	60.0%	5.84	50	\$8.00	4.80	33	50.0%	1.67	113	4.10	83
023	Botetourt	20.0%	-0.34	119	\$7.37	3.33	62	66.7%	4.45	68	2.48	118
025	Brunswick	54.1%	4.92	75	\$6.16	0.51	118	80.0%	6.67	16	4.03	87
027	Buchanan	49.1%	4.16	97	\$7.25	3.05	68	61.0%	3.50	89	3.57	106
029	Buckingham	51.4%	4.50	86	\$7.52	3.68	49	69.0%	4.83	59	4.34	74
031	Campbell	49.6%	4.23	96	\$7.69	4.08	41	69.1%	4.85	57	4.39	70
033	Caroline	45.0%	3.52	105	\$7.71	4.13	38	71.9%	5.31	46	4.32	76
035	Carroll	83.7%	9.49	1	\$6.60	1.54	108	73.2%	5.53	39	5.52	23
036	Charles City	37.5%	2.36	115	\$8.00	4.80	34	71.4%	5.24	47	4.13	82
037	Charlotte	50.0%	4.29	91	\$8.42	5.78	14	40.0%	0.00	115	3.36	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54.1%	4.93	74	\$8.00	4.80	35	78.7%	6.44	18	5.39	28
043	Clarke	80.0%	8.93	3	\$6.60	1.54	109	60.0%	3.33	92	4.60	63
045	Craig	50.0%	4.29	92	\$7.00	2.47	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.38	109
047	Culpeper	39.4%	2.65	114	\$8.37	5.66	16	78.6%	6.43	19	4.92	47
049	Cumberland	44.1%	3.38	108	\$8.37	5.66	17	70.0%	5.00	50	4.68	60
051	Dickensen	55.8%	5.19	68	\$6.88	2.19	100	63.3%	3.89	81	3.76	97
053	Dinwiddie	71.4%	7.60	14	\$7.71	4.13	39	86.2%	7.70	8	6.48	5
057	Essex	45.7%	3.62	104	\$7.29	3.15	66	68.0%	4.67	62	3.81	95
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	44.2%	3.39	107	\$9.38	8.02	5	76.3%	6.06	29	5.82	16
061	Fauquier	55.8%	5.19	69	\$7.61	3.89	45	70.3%	5.05	48	4.71	57
063	Floyd	69.2%	7.26	19	\$7.46	3.54	52	73.3%	5.56	38	5.45	26
065	Fluvanna	60.0%	5.84	51	\$8.34	5.59	18	100.0%	10.00	2	7.14	1
067	Franklin County	53.2%	4.79	79	\$7.33	3.24	65	59.4%	3.23	96	3.75	98
069	Frederick	51.7%	4.55	84	\$8.26	5.41	20	69.4%	4.91	56	4.95	43
071	Giles	65.2%	6.64	28	\$7.29	3.15	67	60.0%	3.33	93	4.37	71
073	Gloucester	65.7%	6.72	27	\$7.44	3.50	54	65.0%	4.17	76	4.79	52
075	Goochland	33.3%	1.72	117	\$8.00	4.80	36	80.0%	6.67	17	4.39	69
077	Grayson	76.5%	8.38	4	\$6.55	1.42	112	70.0%	5.00	51	4.93	45

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

26

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	41.7%	3.00	111	\$7.22	2.98	70	50.0%	1.67	114	2.55	117
081	Greenville/Emporia	50.0%	4.29	93	\$7.07	2.63	79	62.5%	3.75	86	3.56	107
083	Halifax	56.4%	5.28	64	\$6.98	2.42	91	66.1%	4.35	73	4.02	89
085	Hanover	50.8%	4.41	87	\$8.60	6.20	11	78.4%	6.40	21	5.67	18
087	Henrico	51.6%	4.53	85	\$8.21	5.29	24	78.5%	6.41	20	5.41	27
089	Henry/Martinsville	52.3%	4.65	83	\$7.19	2.91	75	60.0%	3.33	94	3.63	102
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	62.7%	6.26	40	\$6.87	2.17	101	62.8%	3.79	85	4.07	84
095	James City	71.7%	7.65	12	\$8.23	5.34	21	73.9%	5.65	36	6.21	9
097	King and Queen	71.4%	7.60	15	\$7.16	2.84	76	57.1%	2.86	106	4.43	68
099	King George	63.6%	6.40	33	\$7.75	4.22	37	72.7%	5.46	44	5.36	29
101	King William	56.3%	5.26	66	\$8.14	5.13	26	55.6%	2.59	109	4.33	75
103	Lancaster	60.0%	5.84	52	\$8.04	4.90	30	66.7%	4.45	69	5.06	37
105	Lee	70.5%	7.46	17	\$6.43	1.14	114	76.8%	6.14	26	4.91	48
107	Loudoun	59.7%	5.80	58	\$9.79	8.97	2	76.5%	6.09	28	6.95	3
109	Louisa	50.0%	4.29	94	\$8.96	7.04	6	64.5%	4.09	78	5.14	32
111	Lunenburg	25.0%	0.43	118	\$5.31	(1.47)	119	100.0%	10.00	3	2.99	115
113	Madison	68.4%	7.14	21	\$8.03	4.87	31	83.3%	7.22	10	6.41	7
115	Mathews	75.0%	8.15	6	\$6.41	1.10	115	83.3%	7.22	11	5.49	25
117	Mecklenburg	60.0%	5.84	53	\$6.90	2.24	97	58.1%	3.01	102	3.69	100
119	Middlesex	44.4%	3.43	106	\$8.01	4.83	32	55.6%	2.59	110	3.62	104
121	Montgomery	76.0%	8.31	5	\$7.51	3.66	50	69.0%	4.84	58	5.60	20
125	Nelson	60.0%	5.84	54	\$6.95	2.35	94	100.0%	10.00	4	6.06	13
127	New Kent	57.1%	5.39	62	\$8.81	6.69	7	83.3%	7.22	12	6.44	6
131	Northampton	65.9%	6.75	25	\$6.96	2.38	93	76.9%	6.15	25	5.09	35
133	Northumberland	36.4%	2.18	116	\$6.59	1.52	110	37.5%	-0.42	118	1.09	119
135	Nottoway	42.1%	3.07	110	\$6.87	2.17	102	77.3%	6.21	24	3.82	94
137	Orange	53.6%	4.84	78	\$7.06	2.61	80	60.9%	3.48	91	3.64	101
139	Page	60.0%	5.84	55	\$7.46	3.54	53	73.1%	5.51	41	4.96	42
141	Patrick	64.0%	6.45	31	\$6.28	0.79	117	65.7%	4.28	75	3.84	92
143	Pittsylvania	54.7%	5.02	72	\$7.03	2.54	84	59.7%	3.28	95	3.61	105
145	Powhatan	40.0%	2.75	113	\$9.94	9.32	1	40.0%	0.00	116	4.02	88
147	Prince Edward	46.7%	3.78	101	\$7.03	2.54	85	74.1%	5.69	35	4.00	90
149	Prince George	53.7%	4.86	77	\$8.59	6.18	12	58.3%	3.06	100	4.70	58
153	Prince William	54.3%	4.95	73	\$9.39	8.04	4	72.9%	5.48	43	6.16	10
155	Pulaski	60.7%	5.95	48	\$7.02	2.52	86	58.3%	3.06	101	3.84	93
157	Rappahannock	60.0%	5.84	56	\$7.00	2.47	89	66.7%	4.45	70	4.25	78
159	Richmond County	72.7%	7.80	11	\$7.42	3.45	56	40.0%	0.00	117	3.75	99
161	Roanoke County	69.2%	7.26	20	\$7.50	3.64	51	73.8%	5.63	37	5.51	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	62.5%	6.22	42	\$7.42	3.45	57	64.7%	4.12	77	4.60	64
165	Rockingham/H'burg	83.6%	9.49	2	\$7.66	4.01	43	69.8%	4.96	54	6.15	11
167	Russell	65.9%	6.74	26	\$6.81	2.03	103	68.0%	4.67	63	4.48	66
169	Scott	57.1%	5.39	63	\$6.52	1.35	113	89.1%	8.19	6	4.98	41
171	Shenandoah	63.6%	6.40	34	\$7.16	2.84	77	70.0%	5.00	52	4.75	54

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	50.6%	4.39	<b>88</b>	\$6.59	1.52	<b>111</b>	81.0%	6.83	<b>14</b>	4.24	<b>79</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	50.0%	4.29	<b>95</b>	\$7.20	2.94	<b>72</b>	61.8%	3.63	<b>87</b>	3.62	<b>103</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.7%	7.49	<b>16</b>	\$8.13	5.10	<b>28</b>	78.1%	6.36	<b>22</b>	6.32	<b>8</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	56.3%	5.26	<b>65</b>	\$8.23	5.34	<b>22</b>	82.9%	7.14	<b>13</b>	5.92	<b>15</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.4%	7.14	<b>22</b>	\$8.23	5.34	<b>23</b>	85.7%	7.62	<b>9</b>	6.70	<b>4</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.3%	6.50	<b>30</b>	\$7.04	2.56	<b>82</b>	63.2%	3.86	<b>83</b>	4.31	<b>77</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.4%	6.82	<b>24</b>	\$6.62	1.59	<b>107</b>	67.7%	4.62	<b>65</b>	4.34	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	60.0%	5.84	<b>57</b>	\$8.14	5.13	<b>27</b>	58.0%	3.00	<b>103</b>	4.65	<b>61</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.9%	7.36	<b>18</b>	\$7.05	2.59	<b>81</b>	65.8%	4.30	<b>74</b>	4.75	<b>53</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	60.5%	5.91	<b>49</b>	\$8.66	6.34	<b>10</b>	51.9%	1.98	<b>112</b>	4.74	<b>55</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	74.2%	8.03	<b>7</b>	\$6.81	2.03	<b>104</b>	68.4%	4.73	<b>60</b>	4.93	<b>46</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	73.4%	7.91	<b>10</b>	\$6.76	1.91	<b>105</b>	77.4%	6.24	<b>23</b>	5.35	<b>30</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	59.3%	5.72	<b>60</b>	\$7.34	3.26	<b>64</b>	76.7%	6.11	<b>27</b>	5.03	<b>38</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.6%	4.07	<b>98</b>	\$8.81	6.69	<b>8</b>	80.2%	6.70	<b>15</b>	5.82	<b>17</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.5%	3.76	<b>102</b>	\$6.92	2.28	<b>96</b>	58.6%	3.10	<b>98</b>	3.05	<b>113</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	64.0%	6.45	<b>32</b>	\$8.20	5.27	<b>25</b>	69.5%	4.92	<b>55</b>	5.55	<b>22</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.8%	5.96	<b>47</b>	\$7.55	3.75	<b>46</b>	74.7%	5.78	<b>33</b>	5.16	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.9%	3.35	<b>109</b>	\$6.89	2.21	<b>98</b>	60.9%	3.49	<b>90</b>	3.02	<b>114</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.6%	6.40	<b>35</b>	\$7.00	2.47	<b>90</b>	58.6%	3.10	<b>99</b>	3.99	<b>91</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	67.0%	6.92	<b>23</b>	\$8.33	5.57	<b>19</b>	73.2%	5.53	<b>40</b>	6.01	<b>14</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	46.2%	3.70	<b>103</b>	\$6.75	1.89	<b>106</b>	64.0%	4.00	<b>80</b>	3.20	<b>112</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	57.3%	5.41	<b>61</b>	\$7.42	3.45	<b>58</b>	75.0%	5.83	<b>32</b>	4.90	<b>49</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	63.3%	6.34	<b>38</b>	\$7.41	3.43	<b>60</b>	68.0%	4.67	<b>64</b>	4.81	<b>51</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	63.5%	6.37	<b>36</b>	\$7.20	2.94	<b>73</b>	67.7%	4.61	<b>66</b>	4.64	<b>62</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	53.0%	4.76	<b>80</b>	\$8.78	6.62	<b>9</b>	63.0%	3.84	<b>84</b>	5.07	<b>36</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	62.5%	6.22	<b>43</b>	\$8.44	5.83	<b>13</b>	93.3%	8.89	<b>5</b>	6.98	<b>2</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	61.8%	6.11	<b>44</b>	\$7.42	3.45	<b>59</b>	73.0%	5.50	<b>42</b>	5.02	<b>39</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.9%	7.98	<b>8</b>	\$7.21	2.96	<b>71</b>	75.6%	5.93	<b>30</b>	5.62	<b>19</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	47.6%	3.92	<b>99</b>	\$6.89	2.21	<b>99</b>	52.6%	2.11	<b>111</b>	2.75	<b>116</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.9%	5.04	<b>71</b>	\$7.54	3.73	<b>47</b>	74.2%	5.69	<b>34</b>	4.82	<b>50</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	59.7%	5.79	<b>59</b>	\$7.53	3.71	<b>48</b>	68.2%	4.70	<b>61</b>	4.73	<b>56</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	61.4%	6.05	<b>46</b>	\$7.35	3.29	<b>63</b>	64.3%	4.05	<b>79</b>	4.46	<b>67</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.4%	3.88	<b>100</b>	\$7.62	3.92	<b>44</b>	66.1%	4.35	<b>72</b>	4.05	<b>86</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	55.3%	5.11	<b>70</b>	\$7.70	4.10	<b>40</b>	63.2%	3.86	<b>82</b>	4.36	<b>72</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	63.0%	6.30	<b>39</b>	\$7.08	2.66	<b>78</b>	61.2%	3.53	<b>88</b>	4.16	<b>81</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	62.7%	6.25	<b>41</b>	\$7.69	4.08	<b>42</b>	70.1%	5.01	<b>49</b>	5.11	<b>34</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	52.4%	4.66	<b>82</b>	\$8.07	4.97	<b>29</b>	66.7%	4.45	<b>71</b>	4.69	<b>59</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	52.6%	4.70	<b>81</b>	\$7.01	2.49	<b>87</b>	70.0%	5.00	<b>53</b>	4.06	<b>85</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

28

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.8%	1.50	115	\$802	2.23	100	58.9%	7.08	29	3.60	106
003	Albemarle	78.9%	8.12	6	\$976	5.85	18	53.8%	5.71	64	6.56	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.2%	6.57	36	\$811	2.42	95	46.0%	3.62	101	4.20	88
007	Amelia	64.4%	4.15	93	\$952	5.35	27	43.4%	2.95	113	4.15	90
009	Amherst	69.8%	5.62	62	\$821	2.64	89	53.2%	5.55	66	4.61	79
011	Appomattox	73.1%	6.52	38	\$819	2.59	92	43.2%	2.89	114	4.00	94
013	Arlington	75.3%	7.15	21	\$1,018	6.72	9	64.2%	8.48	8	7.45	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.5%	5.82	56	\$930	4.88	38	53.1%	5.51	68	5.41	41
017	Bath	64.7%	4.22	90	\$732	0.79	117	55.0%	6.03	55	3.68	103
019	Bedford County/City	68.3%	5.22	76	\$803	2.26	99	55.6%	6.18	51	4.55	80
021	Bland	66.7%	4.76	85	\$805	2.31	98	45.2%	3.43	106	3.50	110
023	Botetourt	50.3%	0.27	119	\$899	4.25	54	43.9%	3.06	112	2.53	119
025	Brunswick	58.1%	2.41	114	\$796	2.12	102	56.2%	6.34	46	3.62	105
027	Buchanan	52.2%	0.77	118	\$783	1.85	111	54.1%	5.80	63	2.81	118
029	Buckingham	60.8%	3.14	106	\$902	4.30	52	51.8%	5.18	78	4.21	87
031	Campbell	69.7%	5.59	65	\$848	3.19	77	59.5%	7.22	25	5.33	45
033	Caroline	63.8%	3.96	98	\$927	4.83	40	40.9%	2.28	118	3.69	102
035	Carroll	68.4%	5.25	75	\$789	1.97	107	45.5%	3.50	103	3.57	109
036	Charles City	49.4%	0.00	120	\$888	4.02	59	60.6%	7.52	18	3.85	99
037	Charlotte	54.8%	1.49	116	\$860	3.44	69	45.6%	3.52	102	2.82	117
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69.4%	5.52	67	\$991	6.15	16	60.7%	7.56	17	6.41	21
043	Clarke	63.5%	3.90	100	\$950	5.30	29	46.0%	3.63	100	4.28	86
045	Craig	76.9%	7.59	12	\$978	5.88	17	66.7%	9.14	3	7.54	5
047	Culpeper	67.7%	5.05	79	\$931	4.92	35	55.6%	6.18	50	5.38	43
049	Cumberland	58.3%	2.46	113	\$931	4.90	36	43.1%	2.86	115	3.41	112
051	Dickensen	60.6%	3.11	107	\$785	1.90	109	48.9%	4.42	92	3.14	114
053	Dinwiddie	71.3%	6.04	48	\$921	4.70	43	52.6%	5.40	73	5.38	44
057	Essex	68.8%	5.36	72	\$820	2.61	91	42.9%	2.80	116	3.59	108
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.1%	5.99	49	\$1,090	8.19	4	61.9%	7.87	12	7.35	7
061	Fauquier	71.0%	5.96	51	\$969	5.70	23	62.8%	8.11	10	6.59	13
063	Floyd	72.8%	6.46	39	\$890	4.07	57	45.2%	3.41	107	4.65	73
065	Fluvanna	75.7%	7.26	20	\$1,076	7.91	5	49.1%	4.46	90	6.54	17
067	Franklin County	68.6%	5.30	73	\$920	4.68	45	50.5%	4.82	83	4.93	63
069	Frederick	63.8%	3.97	96	\$947	5.25	30	55.4%	6.14	52	5.12	55
071	Giles	60.2%	2.97	108	\$912	4.51	49	48.0%	4.16	96	3.88	97
073	Gloucester	71.5%	6.09	45	\$821	2.63	90	56.1%	6.33	47	5.02	59
075	Goochland	69.0%	5.42	69	\$851	3.25	75	55.7%	6.22	49	4.96	61
077	Grayson	67.0%	4.85	82	\$816	2.53	93	57.4%	6.66	34	4.68	71

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

29

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	79.8%	8.39	5	\$916	4.60	48	50.3%	4.78	86	5.92	31
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.7%	2.84	109	\$790	1.98	106	56.8%	6.50	39	3.78	101
083	Halifax	62.2%	3.53	102	\$813	2.47	94	48.4%	4.27	95	3.42	111
085	Hanover	67.1%	4.88	81	\$1,051	7.40	7	66.3%	9.03	6	7.10	8
087	Henrico	71.4%	6.08	46	\$993	6.20	15	56.2%	6.36	44	6.21	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	66.8%	4.80	83	\$909	4.45	50	54.9%	6.00	57	5.08	56
091	Highland	71.4%	6.08	47	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.36	21	4.48	82
093	Isle of Wight	73.9%	6.76	28	\$879	3.84	64	55.2%	6.07	53	5.56	39
095	James City	73.6%	6.68	29	\$854	3.32	72	53.0%	5.51	70	5.17	52
097	King and Queen	59.7%	2.84	110	\$856	3.35	71	35.4%	0.81	119	2.33	120
099	King George	71.8%	6.18	43	\$897	4.20	56	50.3%	4.79	85	5.06	57
101	King William	61.3%	3.30	104	\$969	5.71	22	44.6%	3.26	109	4.09	92
103	Lancaster	71.1%	5.97	50	\$807	2.34	97	47.0%	3.90	98	4.07	93
105	Lee	66.8%	4.79	84	\$744	1.05	116	59.9%	7.32	23	4.39	84
107	Loudoun	83.2%	9.31	2	\$1,138	9.19	2	66.5%	9.10	4	9.20	1
109	Louisa	66.3%	4.66	87	\$950	5.31	28	53.7%	5.67	65	5.21	50
111	Lunenburg	52.3%	0.80	117	\$732	0.78	118	59.5%	7.22	26	2.93	116
113	Madison	70.1%	5.72	59	\$975	5.82	19	44.2%	3.15	110	4.90	66
115	Mathews	64.0%	4.04	95	\$831	2.84	84	50.0%	4.70	87	3.86	98
117	Mecklenburg	70.8%	5.90	54	\$794	2.08	103	57.7%	6.75	33	4.91	65
119	Middlesex	72.7%	6.42	40	\$859	3.42	70	54.7%	5.95	59	5.26	47
121	Montgomery	82.3%	9.08	3	\$904	4.34	51	54.3%	5.85	61	6.42	20
125	Nelson	69.0%	5.42	70	\$1,002	6.37	14	52.7%	5.42	72	5.74	33
127	New Kent	69.6%	5.57	66	\$1,003	6.40	13	54.2%	5.81	62	5.93	30
131	Northampton	64.1%	4.06	94	\$785	1.90	110	65.8%	8.90	7	4.95	62
133	Northumberland	61.7%	3.40	103	\$831	2.85	83	42.5%	2.69	117	2.98	115
135	Nottoway	69.0%	5.42	71	\$854	3.32	73	54.9%	6.01	56	4.92	64
137	Orange	74.5%	6.93	23	\$932	4.92	34	44.0%	3.09	111	4.98	60
139	Page	77.1%	7.64	11	\$965	5.61	24	56.8%	6.52	38	6.59	12
141	Patrick	68.5%	5.26	74	\$788	1.94	108	66.4%	9.06	5	5.42	40
143	Pittsylvania	58.5%	2.52	112	\$843	3.09	80	52.3%	5.32	76	3.65	104
145	Powhatan	59.0%	2.65	111	\$866	3.56	68	51.8%	5.18	79	3.80	100
147	Prince Edward	76.8%	7.56	13	\$846	3.16	79	60.6%	7.52	19	6.08	26
149	Prince George	63.8%	3.97	97	\$972	5.75	20	50.7%	4.88	82	4.87	68
153	Prince William	76.5%	7.47	14	\$1,177	10.00	1	61.9%	7.87	13	8.45	2
155	Pulaski	67.8%	5.08	78	\$924	4.77	42	45.3%	3.45	105	4.43	83
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.25	89	\$935	4.99	32	44.8%	3.32	108	4.19	89
159	Richmond County	70.8%	5.91	53	\$929	4.88	39	32.4%	0.00	120	3.60	107
161	Roanoke County	77.7%	7.79	10	\$1,012	6.58	11	52.4%	5.33	75	6.57	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.8%	7.83	8	\$848	3.19	78	54.6%	5.93	60	5.65	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.9%	7.30	18	\$920	4.69	44	59.2%	7.16	28	6.38	22
167	Russell	63.7%	3.94	99	\$825	2.71	88	59.3%	7.18	27	4.61	78
169	Scott	60.8%	3.15	105	\$841	3.05	81	64.1%	8.45	9	4.88	67
171	Shenandoah	70.4%	5.80	57	\$897	4.21	55	53.1%	5.54	67	5.18	51



# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

30

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank		Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank		Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank		Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	64.9%	4.29	88		\$793	2.05	104		53.0%	5.50	71		3.95	95
175	Southampton	62.2%	3.54	101		\$798	2.17	101		47.4%	4.01	97		3.24	113
177	Spotsylvania	74.2%	6.84	25		\$1,011	6.57	12		56.2%	6.36	45		6.59	14
179	Stafford	64.5%	4.17	92		\$1,114	8.70	3		56.6%	6.46	40		6.44	19
181	Surry	74.1%	6.80	27		\$849	3.22	76		48.8%	4.37	93		4.80	69
183	Sussex	69.7%	5.59	64		\$930	4.89	37		56.9%	6.53	37		5.67	35
185	Tazewell	69.8%	5.62	63		\$772	1.62	114		57.3%	6.63	36		4.62	74
187	Warren	72.3%	6.32	41		\$961	5.52	25		58.3%	6.91	30		6.25	24
191	Washington	69.8%	5.64	61		\$866	3.56	67		49.8%	4.66	88		4.62	76
193	Westmoreland	67.7%	5.04	80		\$884	3.94	61		49.2%	4.49	89		4.49	81
195	Wise	64.5%	4.18	91		\$761	1.40	115		57.7%	6.75	32		4.11	91
197	Wythe	69.4%	5.51	68		\$810	2.39	96		56.4%	6.41	42		4.77	70
199	York/Poquoson	66.4%	4.69	86		\$852	3.28	74		46.2%	3.68	99		3.88	96
510	Alexandria	72.3%	6.31	42		\$1,014	6.62	10		69.9%	10.00	1		7.64	4
520	Bristol	73.5%	6.65	30		\$831	2.83	85		48.7%	4.35	94		4.61	77
540	Charlottesville	85.7%	10.00	1		\$918	4.64	46		56.3%	6.38	43		7.00	10
550	Chesapeake	75.2%	7.11	22		\$884	3.94	60		56.0%	6.29	48		5.78	32
590	Danville	70.0%	5.69	60		\$779	1.77	112		53.1%	5.51	69		4.33	85
620	Franklin City	71.7%	6.15	44		\$775	1.69	113		55.0%	6.04	54		4.62	75
630	Fredericksburg	81.3%	8.79	4		\$970	5.71	21		52.6%	5.40	74		6.63	11
640	Galax	68.1%	5.15	77		\$828	2.77	87		60.3%	7.45	20		5.12	54
650	Hampton	73.2%	6.56	37		\$882	3.89	62		52.0%	5.24	77		5.23	49
670	Hopewell	73.2%	6.57	35		\$900	4.27	53		51.0%	4.97	81		5.27	46
680	Lynchburg	74.4%	6.91	24		\$792	2.04	105		62.5%	8.03	11		5.66	36
683	Manassas	70.9%	5.94	52		\$1,069	7.77	6		59.9%	7.34	22		7.02	9
685	Manassas Park	76.1%	7.35	17		\$1,046	7.28	8		69.6%	9.91	2		8.18	3
700	Newport News	75.8%	7.28	19		\$889	4.03	58		57.8%	6.77	31		6.03	28
710	Norfolk	73.5%	6.65	31		\$830	2.82	86		54.7%	5.96	58		5.14	53
720	Norton	77.8%	7.83	7		\$704	0.21	119		61.4%	7.73	15		5.26	48
730	Petersburg	74.1%	6.82	26		\$917	4.62	47		57.4%	6.66	35		6.04	27
740	Portsmouth	76.3%	7.43	15		\$875	3.74	65		61.6%	7.79	14		6.32	23
750	Radford	73.3%	6.60	32		\$880	3.86	63		45.4%	3.48	104		4.65	72
760	Richmond City	73.3%	6.59	33		\$942	5.13	31		61.1%	7.65	16		6.46	18
770	Roanoke City	70.3%	5.77	58		\$932	4.94	33		49.1%	4.45	91		5.05	58
800	Suffolk	77.7%	7.80	9		\$832	2.86	82		59.7%	7.28	24		5.98	29
810	Virginia Beach	70.6%	5.85	55		\$925	4.79	41		56.5%	6.42	41		5.69	34
830	Williamsburg	76.2%	7.39	16		\$870	3.64	66		51.6%	5.14	80		5.39	42
840	Winchester	73.2%	6.57	34		\$953	5.37	26		50.5%	4.82	84		5.59	38

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,129	120	81	205	62	33	235	34	63	81	104	74	292	67	34	200	55	371	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	93%	96%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	95%	95%	95%	94%	78%	94%	98%	98%	96%	94%
% Timely in August 2008	96%	93%	96%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	95%	95%	95%	94%	78%	94%	98%	98%	96%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	61	8	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	11	23	5	2	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	16	2	8	46	0	1	0	0	17	2	21	32	42	269	1	7	29	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	120	7	4	15	15	13	31	33	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%	92%	100%	85%	50%
% Timely in August 2008	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%	92%	100%	85%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	18	0	7	35

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	81	2	4	0	8	19	7	26	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	92%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tour</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	205	7	4	0	1	16	5	23	21	28	7	93
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in August 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i><b>Total EDD4</b></i>	<i><b>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</b></i>	<i><b>Bath</b></i>	<i><b>Highland</b></i>	<i><b>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</b></i>	<i><b>Rockingham/ HBurg</b></i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	62	46	2	0	4	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	46	0	0	0	0	46

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i><b>Total EDD5</b></i>	<i><b>Clarke</b></i>	<i><b>Frederick</b></i>	<i><b>Page</b></i>	<i><b>Shen.</b></i>	<i><b>Warren</b></i>	<i><b>Winchester</b></i>	<i><b>Total EDD18</b></i>	<i><b>Accomack</b></i>	<i><b>Nhampton</b></i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	33	1	9	2	0	10	11	18	13	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	94%	92%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	94%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	235	20	81	26	72	19	14	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	99%	96%	96%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	98%	100%	99%	96%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	34	20	10	0	3	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>CVille</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	63	13	2	9	9	2	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	92%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	97%	92%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	81	2	11	18	14	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%
% Timely in August 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	17

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	104	14	28	17	15	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	86%	93%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in August 2008	95%	86%	93%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	2	0	0	2

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	74	1	8	11	5	9	12	2	8	9	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	95%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	292	56	1	17	80	1	137
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	95%	0%	100%	86%	100%	98%
% Timely in August 2008	94%	95%	0%	100%	86%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	11	1	0	0	9	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	32	15	0	0	37	0	6

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	67	15	2	13	20	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	78%	27%	100%	100%	80%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	78%	27%	100%	100%	80%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	23	20	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	44	0	0	26.33	0



## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	34	6	3	4	1	0	5	4	2	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	83%	100%	75%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	94%	83%	100%	75%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	269	0	0	1	0	336	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	200	0	7	7	3	6	58	115	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%	98%	98%	100%
% Timely in August 2008	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%	98%	98%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	55	8	6	2	4	15	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
% Timely in August 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	7

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	371	5	10	83	6	85	74	34	74
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	99%	100%	91%	97%	100%	97%
% Timely in August 2008	96%	100%	100%	99%	100%	91%	97%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0

## ***Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals***

### ***Collections***

	<i><b>Aug-07</b></i>	<i><b>Aug-08</b></i>	<i><b>% Change</b></i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,472	15,388	6.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,132	128,636	-0.4%
Total Cases Collected	143,604	144,024	0.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,845,424	\$3,777,384	32.8%
NTANF Collections	\$49,563,221	\$47,675,991	-3.8%
Total Collections	\$52,408,645	\$51,453,375	-1.8%
SFY YTD Collections	\$100,947,107	\$109,224,963	8.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355	\$372	5.0%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<i><b>Aug-08</b></i>
TANF Paternities	925
NTANF Paternities	4,371
Combined Paternities	5,296

# ***Diversiory Assistance***

## ***August Diversiory Assistance Cases***

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	6		Page	1
Alleghany / Covington	2		Pittsylvania	4
Amelia	2		Prince William	3
Amherst	1		Pulaski	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	10		Rappahannock	1
Bedford City	2		Roanoke County	7
Buckingham	9		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Campbell	2		Rockingham / H'burg	8
Caroline	3		Shenandoah	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	9		Smyth	1
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	11
Culpeper	1		Stafford	5
Dickensen	1		Surry	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Warren	6
Fauquier	4		Wythe	2
Floyd	1		York / Poquoson	3
Franklin County	4		Bristol	5
Frederick	4		Charlottesville	2
Gloucester	2		Chesapeake	4
Greene	1		Franklin City	1
Hanover	2		Hampton	10
Henrico	2		Hopewell	4
Henry / Martinsville	9		Lynchburg	1
Isle of Wight	3		Manassas Park	1
James City	2		Newport News	29
King William	1		Norfolk	10
Loudoun	2		Richmond City	5
Louisa	3		Roanoke City	17
Mecklenburg	1		Suffolk	6
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	7
Orange	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	255

## ***Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report***

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of September 8, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: [www.dss.virginia.gov](http://www.dss.virginia.gov). On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2008




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.



# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2008




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Aug 31, 2008



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August, it was \$7.77 rather than the \$7.57 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent slight reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.